

NOTICE OF REVIEW PERIOD – BALLOT 217

This Review Notice is sent pursuant to Section 4.1 of the CA/Browser Forum's Intellectual Property Rights Policy (v1.2). This Review Period is for Final Maintenance Guidelines (30 day Review Period). A complete draft of the Draft Guideline that is the subject of this Review Notice is attached.

Date Review Notice Sent: December 21, 2017

Ballot for Review: Ballot 217 – Sunset RFC 2527

Start of Review Period: December 21, 2017 at 23:00 UTC

End of Review Period: January 20, 2018 at 23:00 UTC

Please forward any Exclusion Notice relating to Essential Claims to the Chair by email to kirk.hall@entrustdatacard.com before the end of the Review Period. See current version of CA/Browser Forum Intellectual Property Rights Policy for details.

(Optional form of Exclusion Notice is attached)

Ballot 217: Sunset RFC 2527

-- MOTION BEGINS --

This ballot modifies the "Baseline Requirements for the Issuance and Management of Publicly-Trusted Certificates" as follows, based upon Version 1.5.1:

In Section 2.2, replace the text:

"The CA SHALL publicly disclose its Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement through an appropriate and readily accessible online means that is available on a 24x7 basis. The CA SHALL publicly disclose its CA business practices to the extent required by the CA's selected audit scheme (see Section 8.1). The disclosures MUST include all the material required by RFC 2527 or RFC 3647, and MUST be structured in accordance with either RFC 2527 or RFC 3647. "

with the following:

"The CA SHALL publicly disclose its Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement through an appropriate and readily accessible online means that is available on a 24x7 basis. The CA SHALL publicly disclose its CA business practices to the extent required by the CA's selected audit scheme (see Section 8.1).

Effective as of 31 May 2018, the Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement MUST be structured in accordance with RFC 3647. Prior to 31 May 2018, the Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement MUST be structured in accordance with either RFC 2527 or RFC 3647. The Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement MUST include all material required by RFC 3647 or, if structured as such, RFC 2527."

This ballot modifies the "Guidelines for the Issuance and Management of Extended Validation Certificates" as follows, based on Version 1.6.6:

In Section 8.2.2, replace the text:

"Each CA MUST publicly disclose their EV Policies through an appropriate and readily accessible online means that is available on a 24x7 basis. The CA is also REQUIRED to publicly disclose its CA business practices as required by WebTrust for CAs and ETSI TS 102 042 and ETSI EN 319 411-1. The disclosures MUST be structured in accordance with either RFC 2527 or RFC 3647."

With the following:

"Each CA MUST publicly disclose its Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement through an appropriate and readily accessible online means that is available on a 24x7 basis. The CA SHALL publicly disclose its CA business practices to the extent required by the CA's selected audit scheme (see Section 17.1).

Effective as of 31 May 2018, the CA's Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement MUST be structured in accordance with RFC 3647. Prior to 31 May 2018, the CA's Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement MUST be structured in accordance with either RFC 2527 or RFC 3647. The Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement MUST include all material required by RFC 3647 or, if structured as such, RFC 2527."

-- MOTION ENDS --

EXCLUSION NOTICE – BALLOT __

I hereby provide this Exclusion Notice for the Essential Claim(s) listed below:

Ballot Covered by This Exclusion Notice: Ballot: _____

CABF Member Name: _____ (Organization)

Date Exclusion Notice Sent: _____

Exclusion Notice provided by: _____ (Name)

Provide Exclusion Notice to current CA/Browser Forum Chair: Kirk Hall, kirk.hall@entrustdatacard.com
Exclusion Notices must be provided by deadline stated in related Review Notice.

(For each Essential Claim covered by this Exclusion Notice, please list “numbered section of the Final Guideline or Final Maintenance Guideline whose implementation makes the excluded claim an Essential Claim for each of the issued patent(s) or pending patent application(s) that a Participant reasonably believes at the time may contain Essential Claims the Participant wishes to exclude from the CAB Forum RF License” and also “make an election, (i) not to grant a license or (ii) to provide a license with all of the requirements of Section 5.1 with the exception of subsection 5.1 f.” See IPR Policy Sections 4.2 and 4.3.)

Essential Claim No.	Numbered section(s) of Guideline related to Essential Claim [Sec. 4.3]	Patent number for issued patent, title and application number for pending patent, or copy of patent application unpublished patent applications [Sec. 4.3]	License Grant Election Made [Sec. 4.2]
1.			<input type="checkbox"/> (i) no license granted <input type="checkbox"/> (ii) license granted per Sec. 5.1 except Sec. 5.1.f
2.			<input type="checkbox"/> (i) no license granted <input type="checkbox"/> (ii) license granted per Sec. 5.1 except Sec. 5.1.f
3.			<input type="checkbox"/> (i) no license granted <input type="checkbox"/> (ii) license granted per Sec. 5.1 except Sec. 5.1.f
4.			<input type="checkbox"/> (i) no license granted <input type="checkbox"/> (ii) license granted per Sec. 5.1 except Sec. 5.1.f

(Continue on second page if necessary)

Relevant IPR Policy Excerpts

4.2 Excluding Patents and/or Patent Applications from Royalty Free Licensing Obligations during Review Period.

Except for Essential Claims encompassed by a Participant’s Contributions that are actually incorporated into a Final Guideline or Final Maintenance Guideline approved in accordance

with the CAB Forum Guideline approval process, Participants may within the Review Period exclude Essential Claims from the CAB Forum RF License. In such case, Participant shall be permitted to either make an election, (i) not to grant a license or (ii) to provide a license with all of the requirements of Section 5.1 with the exception of subsection 5.1 f.

4.3 Conditions and Procedure for Excluding Patents and/or Patent Applications from CAB Forum RF License.

*A Participant seeking to exclude Essential Claims from the CAB Forum RF License in accordance with Section 4.2 must provide written notice of such intent to the CAB Forum Chair (“Exclusion Notice”) within the Review Period, and the Exclusion Notice shall be effective upon its receipt by the CAB Forum Chair. The Exclusion Notice shall include identification of the numbered section of the Final Guideline or Final Maintenance Guideline whose implementation makes the excluded claim an Essential Claim for each of the issued patent(s) or pending patent application(s) that a Participant reasonably believes at the time may contain Essential Claims the Participant wishes to exclude from the CAB Forum RF License. For issued patents, the Exclusion Notice shall also include the patent number(s). For pending patent applications, the Exclusion Notice shall also include the title and application number(s). If an issued patent or pending patent application that may contain Essential Claims is not set forth in the Exclusion Notice, such Essential Claims shall continue to be subject to the CAB Forum RF License. For unpublished patent applications, the Exclusion Notice shall also include a copy of the patent application. Exclusion Notices shall be published at <https://cabforum.org/jpr-exclusion-notices/>. ****

8.1. Essential Claims

“Essential Claims” shall mean all claims in any patent or patent application in any jurisdiction in

*the world that would necessarily be infringed by implementation of any Normative Requirement in a Final Guideline or Final Maintenance Guideline. A claim is necessarily infringed hereunder only when it is not possible to avoid infringing it because there is no non-infringing alternative for implementing a Normative Requirement of a Final Guideline or Final Maintenance Guideline. Existence of a non-infringing alternative shall be judged based on the state of the art at the time the guideline is adopted as a Final Guideline or Final Maintenance Guideline. If a Normative Requirement in a Final Guideline or Final Maintenance Guideline may be fulfilled by any of a list of specified alternatives, then for determination of whether a claim is an Essential Claim, each of the specified alternatives should be considered independently as if it were the only method for fulfilling that requirement. ****

8.3. Other Key Definitions ***

c. “Contribution” means material, including Draft Guidelines, Draft Guideline text, and modifications to other Contributions, made verbally or in a tangible form of expression (including in electronic media) which is provided by a Participant in the process of developing a Draft Guideline for the purpose of incorporating such material into a Draft Guideline or a Final Guideline or Final Maintenance Guideline. For a verbal contribution to be deemed a Contribution hereunder it must be memorialized within approved meeting minutes of the CAB Forum.
