| # | Assigned | Section | Comment | Source | Recv'd | Status | Notes |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| 1 | Gerv Markham | 14.2 Delegation of functions & compliance obligations | Better audit criteria are needed for sub CAs and RAs that are not operated directly by the CA. | Several | Open item prior to v. 1.0 | Need to review Mozilla's rules for Sub CAs and RAs, which will provide guidance. | Issue 27 (All trusted entities must be audited) was merged into this Issue. This should be re-assigned to someone outside of Mozilla. |
| 4 | Jeremy Rowley | 16 Data Security | Issuance approvals should require an out-of-band confirmation step. | Several | Open item prior to v. 1.0 | Need to discuss proposal to the right in "Notes" | Modify point 7 of 11.1.1 and point 4 of 11.1.2 to include stipulation that "any other procedure" must be "out-of- bands" and define or use other guidance for CAs and auditors. |
| 7 | Ben Wilson | B Certificate Extensions | AIA for OCSP must be present. OCSP Stapling is not an exception to AIA for OCSP | Yngve | 29 Sep 2011 | Email circulated by Ben on 23- Jan-2013. | Appendix B Certificate Extensions Proposal is to make OCSP MUST for End Entity Certificates. |
| 14 | Ryan Hurst | 9 Certificate Content & Profiles | Consider making policy identifiers mandatory | Tim | 29 Sep 2011 | Suspended pending further discussion | See Ballot 69 https://www.cabforum.org/wiki /Ballots |
| 15 | Rick Andrews (see notes) | 9 Certificate Content & Profiles | Implications of RFC6125 (BR issue 16 has been merged into this for *.gTLD,) | Brad Hill | 29 Sep 2011 | Two issues emerged IDNs and gTLDs. These two issues were | See: <u>https://www.cabforum.org/wiki/</u> <u>Section%209 2 1</u> Brad Hill, Jeremy Rowley, Robin Alden, Steve Roylance, |

| | | | | | | removed from Ballot 92 and two new ballots are being reworked. | and Rick Andrews should be collaborating on a ballot for gTLDs. Rick Andrews is also working with Geoff Keating and Brad Hill on the IDN issue / ballot. |
|-----|---------------------------|---|--|-----------------|---|---|---|
| 18 | Phill Hallam- Baker | 11 Validation Practices | CAA records – RFC 6844 | Phill | 29 Sep 2011 | Phill is working on a ballot. | RFC 6844 has been published. |
| 24 | Jeremy Rowley | B Certificate Extensions | Currently, any PKIX extension is permitted. Consider banning extensions other than those explicitly allowed | Brad Hill | 29 Sep 2011 | Earlier proposal needs to be re- visited and updated. | Problem if certificate contains an uncommon parameter that hides data for collision attack. See Ballot 68 https://www.cabforum.org/wiki/ Ballots |
| 29. | Steve Roylance | 9.2.1 Subject Alternative Name Extension | The first and third paragraphs are contradictory | Bruce Morton | Bruce Morton email 9 April 2012 | Bruce's comment from 9-Apr-2012 needs to be reviewed to determine status. | Ballot 92 failed (but issue remains open until closed). |
| 30. | Ryan Hurst | 12.Certificate Issuance by a Root CA | OCSP Response verification Certificate unclear | Yngve | Ryan Hurst email 12 April 2012 | Steve Roylance reviewing for ballot. | Change item 3 to read: "Certificates for infrastructure purposes (e.g. administrative role certificates, internal CA operational device certificates, |

| | | | | | | | and OCSP Responder Certificates);" motion and two endorsers needed. |
|-----|-------------|---|--|----------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| 32. | | 9.2.4 Subject Organization Name Field | Representation of DBA | Eddy Nigg | Tim - 4 | | Adopt the same convention for DBA as that of the EV standard. |
| 33. | Dean Coclin | | No single place to view effective dates | Yngve | | to the Audit Working Group | We need a table in the front to guide CA's and auditors on deadlines and effective dates that may be different from the document as a whole and tie those into audit effective dates integration, etc. (maybe ordered by date?) |
| 34. | Joe Kaluzny | FQDN | | Wells Fargo | Private communi cation 17-Jan- 2013 | New item | FQDN is something that is in a routing table. A registerable domain name is a namespace that can be registered under the auspices of ICANN. |
| 35. | | Sections 11.2.1 and 14.2. | Third party database | | communi | working on a draft ballot. | Acceptable methods of validating ownership of domain rights and confirming identity of applicants who are corporate affiliates. This is related to Closed BR Issue #17 ("Improve the definition of a suitable third- party database"). |